2023 CARG CONFERENCE PREP

- **Day 1 Invited Session (February 14th)**
  - Objective:
    1. Provide an opportunity for Cores to convene and discuss future plans, leadership changes, work ahead in the coming year
  - Presentation:
    • Approximately 5 mins
    • Brief overview of accomplishments
    • Top 3 goals/priorities for next year

- **Day 2 – Open Session (February 15th)**
  - Objective:
    1. Build excitement and esprit de corps among CARG membership about progress to date
    2. Provide an opportunity for the CARG members to provide input around important issues, notably sustainability and DEI
    3. Generate (virtual) connections among CARG membership
  - Presentation:
    • Approximately 5 mins
    • Sustainability and DEI
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HEALTH SERVICES CORE

CORE MISSION

- Foster and advance health services research (HSR) in geriatric oncology to expand the evidence base to support delivery of individualized care for older adults with cancer
- Support investigators to design, conduct, and disseminate high-quality HSR focused on older adults with cancer and caregivers (e.g., patterns of care, comparative effectiveness, care delivery, implementation science)

CORE FUNCTION

- Provide consultations for HSR projects at any stage of development/execution: concept development, study design, grant development, measure selection, quantitative and qualitative analysis, manuscript preparation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HSR Consult Date</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Stage of Project</th>
<th>Research Topic / Consultation Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/19/2021</td>
<td>Manvi Sharma</td>
<td>Study design: Data source identification</td>
<td>Targeted therapy drug-drug interactions and survival, accessing VA data as a non-VA researcher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/21/2021</td>
<td>Vanita Noronha</td>
<td>Study design: Proposal feedback</td>
<td>Representation of older adults in clinical trials in India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/3/2021</td>
<td>Melisa Wong</td>
<td>Study design feedback for K76</td>
<td>Development of a feasibility pilot study for a communication tool intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/8/2021</td>
<td>Kelly McConnell</td>
<td>Identification of collaborators</td>
<td>Assistance identifying a potential collaborator with dissemination and implementation expertise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/3/2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/8/2021</td>
<td>Charley Gaber</td>
<td>Data analysis refinement for PhD dissertation</td>
<td>Comparative effectiveness of multimodality treatments for esophageal cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/8/2022</td>
<td>Mostafa Mohamed</td>
<td>Specific aims feedback for PhD proposal</td>
<td>Association between treatment modification and dosing with tolerability and survival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/10/2022</td>
<td>Meera Ragavan</td>
<td>Study design feedback for funded pilot grant</td>
<td>Barriers and facilitators to financial drug assistance among older adults, interview guide feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/10/2022</td>
<td>Lisa Lowenstein</td>
<td>Research question and study design feedback</td>
<td>Development of a large randomized trial for a prostate cancer decision aid intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/6/2022</td>
<td>Sophie Pilleron</td>
<td>Manuscript revision feedback</td>
<td>Feedback on a directed acyclic graph (DAG) representing relationships between surgery and death in patients with colon cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/10/2023</td>
<td>Jenny Lund</td>
<td>R21 data analysis feedback</td>
<td>Clinical interpretation and utility of a life expectancy predictor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/10/2023</td>
<td>Melisa Wong</td>
<td>Specific aims feedback for Alliance U34</td>
<td>Clinical trial planning grant to evaluate the feasibility of the Best Case/Worst Case-GeriOnc communication tool</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUMMARY OF IMPACT

- 11 research inquiries to Core
- From 3 countries:
  - USA (5 states, 7 institutions)
  - India
  - United Kingdom
WHAT’S WORKING AND AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT

What’s working

- Diverse Core membership expertise and interests
- High Core meeting attendance by members
- Engaged Core members prepared to provide input
- Core members encouraged trainees to submit inquiries and present
- Challenged Core members to present their own work and questions

Areas for Improvement

- Need to increase number of HSR inquiries from broader CARG membership via clarification of feedback across the research spectrum – from design through analysis, including manuscript revisions and grant proposals and resubmissions
- Clarify potential areas of overlap with the Analytics Core
- Ongoing identification of expertise gaps we need to fill
HEALTH SERVICES CORE: EXAMPLE INQUIRY QUESTIONS

- **Administrative claims database research:**
  - How do I access datasets and create novel database linkages?
  - How do I use claims data to identify relevant study populations and define treatments, covariates, and outcomes?

- **Implementation science:**
  - Which implementation science theory/framework/model best fits my research question?
  - What implementation outcomes should be measured and how?

- **Descriptive and causal inference studies:**
  - How do I address confounding by indication in my study?
  - What methods are available to address immortal time bias?

- **Qualitative methods:**
  - Which analysis method (e.g., framework, grounded theory, thematic) is most appropriate for my research question?
  - What interview structure and interview guide format is the best fit for my aims/population?
FUTURE DIRECTION

Top 3 goals/priorities for next year

- Support Rising Tide and Clinical Trial infrastructure needs
- Engage CARG members in our Core activities to increase the number of inquiries
- Explore ways of working collaboratively with other CARG Cores to address cross-cutting needs of investigators